

Ph.D. Guideline of “The Neotia University” (V2)

Developed and Maintained by R&D Cell of “The Neotia University”

Eligibility: A Master’s degree in Humanities, Science, Engineering, Pharmacy, Management, Agriculture, etc. with $\geq 55\%$ marks from a recognized institute/university.

Admission Procedure:

Applications shall be invited through announcements by the University (**website: www.tnu.in**) preferably twice in a year at the time of the commencement of semesters. The interested applicant/s may submit their application for admission to the Ph.D. program, to the office of The Registrar, The Neotia University. The concerned application/s shall be sent to the Research and Development (R&D) cell from the Registrar’s office. R&D cell shall get in touch with the Controller of Examination section to conduct the admission entrance test (written mode). In this entrance test, 70% weightage will be on Admission Test and 30% will be in the interview section. The R&D cell Shall constitute the panel of experts consisting of the following members for conducting the interview of the admission test-qualified candidates.

- Head of the Department of the concerned program
- Dean/Director/in charge of the concerned School
- Subject expert/s
- Chair of R&D cell (Chair of the committee)
- Director of R&D cell (Member Secretary)
- External subject expert
- Controller of Examinations

Applicants qualified with NET/SET/GATE are exempted to appear from the admission test. The concerned applicants shall be called for the interview directly. The panel of experts of the interview and the recommendations of the committee are to be approved by the Hon’ble Vice Chancellor of the University. On the basis of performance of the candidate in the admission test and/or interview, the candidate may be considered for the enrolment into the Ph.D. program of the University. The final merit list shall be prepared by the Controller’s section and shall be subsequently passed on to the R&D cell.



The R&D cell shall then assign candidates under different supervisors by looking at the research interest of the scholar and research expertise of the supervisor/s. Then the final list shall be shared with the Registrar's office. Hon'ble Registrar may issue official letter to the research scholar as well as his/her supervisor regarding the enrolment into the PhD program. The candidates may be recommended to report to their supervisor within 15 days from the date of issue of the letter. Supervisor should share the details of joining of the research scholar/s with the R&D cell immediately, for the keeping of the formal records.

Research Advisory Committee (RAC):

A dedicated RAC shall be formed for each research scholar to monitor and guide the various activities related to the PhD program of the scholar. Hence, the PhD supervisor should propose the constitution of RAC to the R&D cell by adhering to the prescribed format (Annexure I). The composition of RAC is as follows-

- Dean/Director/In charge of the School (Chairman)
- The concerned supervisor (Convener)
- One External Member having expertise on the identified research topic
- One faculty member (subject expert) from the concerned school to be nominated by the Faculty Council of the school
- A representative from R&D cell (to be decided by R&D cell)
- Co-Supervisor (if any)

If Dean/Director of the school would like to supervise a PhD scholar, then the Hon'ble Pro Vice Chancellor/Vice Chancellor may be approached to be the chair of the RAC. If the Hon'ble Pro Vice Chancellor would like to supervise a PhD scholar, then the Hon'ble Vice Chancellor may be approached to be the chair of the RAC. If the Hon'ble Vice Chancellor would like to supervise a PhD scholar, then the matter shall be referred to the R&D cell to form the required RAC. The R&D cell shall observe the draft RAC and approve if found it to be suitable. Then the R&D cell shall request the Registrar's office to issue a formal notice to all concerned regarding the constitution of the RAC. The scope of the RAC shall be as follows: -

- to select the paper/subjects of the course work
- to evaluate/monitor the research progress of the PhD scholar
- to provide advice, guidance and direction to the PhD student on the research topics
- to recommend for registration when the student fulfils the prescribed criteria for registration
- to evaluate the quality of publications and the draft of the thesis
- to recommend pre-submission of the PhD thesis adhering to the PhD regulation of the university

The agenda of the meeting should be communicated to all the members of RAC while sending a meeting invitation (at least 7 days prior to the meeting). The progress report, synopsis etc. (whatever is supposed to be discussed in the RAC meeting) need to be shared amongst the RAC members in advance (at least 7 days prior to the meeting). The progress report of the research scholar needs to be submitted by the convener before the RAC, right after the completion of every six months by adhering to the format (Annexure II).



The RAC meeting shall be conducted within one month after the completion of six months. In case of any issue in holding the RAC meeting, the matter may be immediately transferred to the R&D cell. The minutes of the RAC meeting in the prescribed format (Annexure III) along with the relevant documents (e.g. progress report, synopsis etc.) should be submitted to the R&D cell within 7 days from the RAC meeting for keeping the records. The RAC meeting shall be called by the convener after getting the required permission from the chair. In case of online meeting, a prior approval is required from Hon'ble Vice Chancellor to hold the meeting by citing proper explanations. In case, if the progress of the research scholar is unsatisfactory, the RAC shall record the reasons for the same and suggest corrective measures. If the research scholar fails to implement these corrective measures, the RAC may recommend to the R&D cell with specific reasons for cancellation of the enrolment/registration of the research scholar. In case of any serious conflict in RAC, the matter may be immediately referred to the R&D cell.

Course Work:

Each scholar is required to complete the course work with a minimum of 18 credits within the first year (either in one semester or in two semesters) from the date of enrolment to PhD program. The following papers shall be offered in course work for the PhD scholars.

- Research methodology (4 credit)
- Literature review and research evaluation methodology (4 credit)
- Research and publication ethics (2 credit)
- Complementary research paper (4 credit)
- Dissertation (4 credit)

The first three papers shall be common to all the research scholars across the university. However, the complementary research paper shall be program specific. This paper shall be solely related to the research topic of the research scholar. All the concerned supervisors may get in touch with the chair of the RAC to develop a basket having different papers (at least 3 papers) relevant to the research work of the research scholar. The RAC shall meet and select the most suitable paper from the basket for the research scholar for smooth running of the PhD program. A minimum B grade or 55% marks is required in each course for successful completion of the Ph.D. course work.

Research scholars are suggested to complete their course work within the first two semesters from the date of their enrollment in the University PhD program. If, the candidate is unable to do so, the candidate may be allowed another semester as grace period to complete their PhD course work upon the recommendation of RAC. If the candidates still fails to complete their course work within the grace period, the candidature of the PhD scholar will stand as canceled (Under exceptional conditions, the R&D cell may give extension to the candidates who are not able to complete the course work within the assigned timeframe).

Research scholars having an M.Phil or M.Tech degree, where the course work papers were previously covered, need not to pursue the same in PhD provided the previously done course work satisfies the UGC guideline. In this condition, the supervisor shall approach the R&D cell through the RAC.



Based on the recommendations and justifications, the Academic Council may exempt the research scholar from the course work in his/her PhD program in The Neotia University; subject to the prior completion of the same by the candidate in any other Institution/College/University as per UGC latest guideline.

The course work and credit structure for candidates pursuing their PhD from School of Agriculture and Allied Sciences (SAAS) will be aligned with the guidelines and policies of the Indian Council of Agriculture Research (ICAR). In this regard, candidates must complete a total of 100 credits worth of course work and research work during their PhD. Out of these, 25 credits are to be assigned under PhD course work and 75 credits is for research work. The 25 credit course work will be done in accordance with the policies and guidelines of ICAR. Furthermore, the candidate will be adjudicated on 75 credits based on the performance of research work.

Registration:

After the successful completion of the course work, the research scholar may be asked to present the synopsis of the Ph.D. work before the RAC. The Synopsis may be written within 1000 words by adhering to the prescribed format (Annexure IV). After the approval of the synopsis from the RAC, the research scholar may be allowed to proceed for registration in the Ph.D. program. The synopsis and the filled registration form (Annexure V) forwarded by the RAC shall be submitted to the R&D cell by the concerned research scholar. The R&D cell shall check the details and place the same before Hon'ble Vice Chancellor for necessary approval. After the necessary approval, the Registrar's office shall issue the registration letter to the concerned scholar for the Ph.D. program. The PhD registration letter remains valid for 5 years from the date of effect. The registration shall be cancelled if the candidate does not submit the Ph.D. thesis within five years from the date of registration. Candidate shall be permitted by the Hon'ble Vice Chancellor to re-register again for the Ph.D. program on the proper recommendations from RAC. The registration process is required to be completed within 2 years from the date of joining to PhD program.

Supervisor / Research Guide:

The faculty must be a regular faculty member of The Neotia University. The faculty must have a valid PhD degree from a recognized organization. The faculty must have completed five years from the date of award of his/her PhD degree. Within these five years, the faculty member/s may not be allowed to supervise research scholars in The Neotia University. This period may be considered as the cooling period. Under certain conditions, the R&D cell may give approval to the applicants before the completion of the cooling period.

Any regular Professor of the University with at least five research publications in UGC-approved/regulatory body approved (ICAR/PCI/BCI etc.) journals and any regular Associate/Assistant Professor of the university with a Ph.D. degree and at least four research publications in UGC-approved /regulatory body approved (ICAR/PCI/BCI etc.) may be recognized as registered research Supervisor. The external supervisors are not allowed to act as sole supervisors. Co-Supervisor may be allowed in inter-disciplinary areas from other departments of the same university or from other related institutions with the recommendation of the RAC and subsequent approval of R&D cell.



A Research Supervisor/Co-supervisor who is a Professor, at any given point of time, cannot guide more than Eight (8) Ph.D. scholars. A Professor as Research Supervisor can guide up to a maximum of six (6) Ph.D. scholars and an Assistant Professor as Research Supervisor can guide up to a maximum of four (4) Ph.D. scholars.

In the event of the untimely demise of the Supervisor, or discord between student and Supervisor, the RAC on being requested by the Ph.D. student, shall recommend to the R&D cell to assign another recognized Supervisor for the supervision of the research work. The R&D cell shall propose an alternate Supervisor to Hon'ble Vice Chancellor. After the approval, a notice shall be issued by the Registrar's office in this regard. When a guide proceeds on long leave from the university before completion of the scholar's Ph.D. program, the RAC may recommend a co-supervisor to R&D cell in consultation with the supervisor, to allow smooth running of the Ph.D. program of the scholar. If the supervisor resigns and leaves the University, another supervisor shall be assigned by the R&D cell after being approached by RAC. No supervisor shall be allowed to take fresh PhD scholar/s if the concerned supervisor has less than 3 years of service (considering the date of retirement as the end point) in The Neotia University. However, under exceptional case, the R&D cell reserves the right to give approval to the applicants to take PhD scholar/s within the mentioned time.

If the research scholar does not communicate or cooperate with the supervisor regarding the research progress, the supervisor must report to the RAC of the research scholar and the RAC must look after the matter with high priority. If the matter does not get solved, it may be referred to the R&D Cell. The R&D cell shall investigate the issue immediately and if the RD cell finds any issue at the research scholar's end, the scholar shall be given reminders (maximum 3) to report to the supervisor and resolve the issue. Despite being reminded, if the research scholar does not respond, the PhD candidature of the research scholar shall be cancelled upon the recommendation of R&D cell.

Duration:

It is recommended that PhD scholar should submit his/her PhD thesis within 5 years from the date of joining. At the end of five years, candidate may be allowed for an extension of one year. The candidate has to approach the R&D cell through RAC, explaining the justification of the need of extension. Candidate may be permitted by the Hon'ble Vice Chancellor to re-register for the Ph.D. program on the recommendations from the R&D cell. No candidate shall be allowed to submit his/her PhD thesis before the completion of three years from the date of joining in PhD program to the submission of thesis. The time spent for the course work shall be taken into consideration while counting the duration of the Ph.D. program.

Pre-Submission:

After getting the necessary recommendations from the RAC, the concerned research scholar may approach the R&D cell (through supervisor/s) regarding the pre-submission of PhD draft thesis. In this regard, the concerned research scholar shall submit a draft PhD thesis duly forwarded by the research supervisor/s to the R&D cell. After receiving the request, the R&D cell shall form a pre-submission committee to evaluate the research work done by the concerned research scholar. The following members may be considered to be the members of the pre-submission committee.



- Supervisor (PI), Co-supervisor (Co-PI) (if any)
- RAC members
- Chair, R&D cell (Chair of the pre-submission committee)
- Subject expert (External)
- Controller of Examinations
- Dean/Director/in charge of the School
- Director, R&D cell (Member Secretary)

The constitution of the pre-submission committee shall be routed through the R&D Cell and subsequently should be approved by the Hon'ble Vice Chancellor of the University. After the approval from the pre-submission committee, the candidate shall be allowed to submit his/her Ph.D. thesis to the Controller of Examinations of the University within six months from the date of pre-submission committee meeting to the PhD thesis submission. Concerned Ph.D. scholar/s must publish at least two (2) research articles in UGC-approved (SCI/Scopus/equivalent body) or regulatory body approved (ICAR/BCI etc.) journals from his/her own thesis before the pre-submission meet. Concerned Ph.D. scholar must present research work in two relevant conferences/seminars (national/international) before the pre-submission meet. Pre-submission meeting shall be open to all the faculty members and other research scholars. The feedback and comments obtained from the pre-submission committee need to be suitably incorporated into the PhD thesis. The revised thesis need to be then routed through the pre-submission committee again to have the consent whether the corrections have been properly addressed. The consent from the pre-submission committee (if any) as well as the modified PhD thesis needs to be then submitted to the Controller's section.

Thesis Submission and Evaluation:

The scholar after fulfilling the requirements is requested to submit five hard copies of Ph.D. thesis along with a soft copy of the thesis and synopsis of the thesis to the Controller of Examinations of the University. The thesis to be submitted must contain a comprehensive account of the candidate's research. It is the responsibility of both the Supervisor and the scholar to ensure that the thesis does not contain any chargeable plagiarized material. The plagiarism policy shall be followed in The Neotia University by adhering to the UGC guideline. The software used for checking the plagiarism must be available at the Controller's section of the university. One report of such plagiarism check must be attached with the thesis. Any instance of chargeable plagiarism will attract severe punishment as per the latest UGC Act. A form has to be filled by the research scholar at the time of thesis submission (Annexure VI). The supervisor of the Ph.D. scholar shall submit a list of six competent subject experts' name and details to the Controller of Examination section in the prescribed format (Annexure VII). The expert should be either Associate Professor or Professor belonging to a reputed organization/University/Institute other than The Neotia University. Every Ph.D. thesis shall be evaluated by three experts. One examiner shall be the Supervisor of the student. However, thesis shall also be reviewed by two other experts from organizations other than The Neotia University. The Controller of Examination shall place the list of expert panel to the Hon'ble Vice Chancellor of the University. Hon'ble Vice Chancellor may accept or reject the panel. After accepting the panel, the Hon'ble Vice Chancellor shall choose two examiners from the panel (one from inside West Bengal and another from outside of West Bengal).



). Synopsis of the thesis with a request letter shall be sent to the selected experts from the Controller of Examination section of the University. After their acceptance, the thesis will be sent either electronically and/or hard copy through a courier post. The experts may be given 30 days of time to review the concerned thesis and shall be requested to submit the confidential comments to the Controller of Examination (CoE) section with a format shared by the CoE (Annexure VIII). Examiners shall be assigned to evaluate the thesis and the examiner shall be asked to submit the comments in the format shared by CoE. If thesis is rejected by one of the external examiners, then Hon'ble Vice Chancellor shall recommend sending the thesis to the next examiner from the panel of experts or new experts may be invited. If more than two external experts reject the thesis, the research scholar will have to carry out additional research work and resubmit the same within one year. Once the thesis is accepted by two external experts and Supervisor's positive report is received by the CoE, the CoE shall immediately inform the R&D cell (within 10 days from the receipt of all positive reports) to conduct an open viva-voce examination.

Viva Voce:

A committee shall be made by the R&D cell to conduct the viva voce examination. The viva-voce examination shall be conducted by forming a committee with the following members.

- Supervisor, Co-Supervisor (if any)
- Dean/Director/in charge of concerned School
- RAC members
- Chair of the R&D Cell (chair of the committee)
- Controller of Examinations (CoE) (Member secretary)
- Director of the R&D cell
- The external examiner who had reviewed the thesis

It should be an open viva-voce exam. Other faculty members and research scholars may be invited to join the meeting. Ph.D. scholar shall be asked first to defend his/her thesis. After that, the PhD scholar shall be asked to address the questions raised by both external examiners who had reviewed the concerned PhD thesis. The concerned PhD scholar shall be asked to clarify the queries (if any) raised by Supervisor/s as well as others who attend the viva-voce examination. After the viva-voce gets over, the CoE shall collect the observations from each member in the prescribed format (Annexure IX) and shall submit a confidential report to the Hon'ble Vice Chancellor. Based on the report, the Hon'ble Vice Chancellor may approve the thesis and award the Ph.D. degree to the concerned student. The CoE shall note the same in the academic council meeting accordingly. A hard copy as well as a soft copy of the thesis incorporating all the corrections/suggestions recommended and accepted at the time of viva-voce (if any) must be submitted to the CoE within 15 days from the viva-voce examination. This copy of thesis shall be uploaded in the University website as well as in any other repository as and when required by the CoE.



Annexure I
Information about the formation of the Research Advisory Committee (RAC)

Name of the Research Scholar	
Date of Enrolment in PhD program (Please attach a copy of the Joining letter)	
Name of the Department & School where the Research Scholar is enrolled	
Name and designation of the Supervisor	
Name and designation of the Co-Supervisor (if any)	
Draft composition of the Research Advisory Committee (RAC)	
Signature of the Convener with Date	
Signature of the Chairman with Date	
Signature of the RAC Approving Authority with Date	



Annexure II

Format for the Submission of Progress Report to the RAC

ASSIGNED RESEARCH TOPIC: The topic, as assigned by the supervisor. This will be broader in nature and the student will try to converge it by identifying the possible gap in knowledge.

OBJECTIVES AS IDENTIFIED: It should be the intent to carry forward what the previous researchers wanted to resolve. The relevance of the topic and its significance will be taken into account here.

METHODOLOGY ADOPTED TO SOLVE: It should be the intent to carry forward what the previous researchers wanted to resolve. The relevance of the topic and its significance will be taken into account here.

FINDINGS: Limitations on the addressability, adoptability and accessibility on the results presented by previous researchers.

ADVICE, SUGGESTIONS AND DIRECTION OF RAC MEMBERS: *The student will mention about the suggestions and direction, if required, for his/her advancement of studies by the RAC Members.*

After the completion of six (6) months of studies, the potential research student will appear before his/her respective Research Advisory Committee (RAC) and will make a power point (PPT) presentation of how she/he has proceeded to carry his/her advanced studies for developing **Research Questions and Research Hypotheses.**

The PPT shall contain contents from mainly the assigned research topics. The research work carried out by the other researcher till date shall be included and what are their possible gaps of studies such that s/he can be able to formulate the research questions and related hypotheses, shall be addressed.



Annexure III

Minutes of the Research Advisory Committee (RAC) Meeting

Name of the Research Scholar	
Date of Enrolment in PhD program	
Name of the Department & School where the Research Scholar is enrolled	
Name and designation of the Supervisor	
Name and designation of the Co-Supervisor (if any)	
Agenda of the meeting	
Details of the RAC meeting (Date, time, online/offline, link of the meeting (in case of online meeting), venue of the meeting should be mentioned)	
Members' present (Signature to be added)	
Discussions held in the RAC meeting	
Recommendations	
Signature of the Convener and other RAC members with Date	
Signature of the Chairman with Date	



Annexure IV

Format for Synopsis of proposed PhD Studies at the time of Registration

A synopsis should have the following headings:

Title: It must be the representative of the possible plan of student's proposed research work. The title may be modified / concise at the time of pre-submission meeting of RAC.

Introduction: It should be the brief background of the selected research topic. It must identify the importance and purpose of the study, its scope and significance.

Rationale / Objectives: It should be the intent of what the researcher wants to solve over an unsolved item / issue.

Methodology: What methodology is going to be adopted by the researcher to address this unsolved item / issue.

Expected Findings / Deliverable: What are the possible findings which the researcher may have after applying proposed methodologies.

References: (This will be over and beyond the 1000 words count)

After enrolment and successful completion of 18 credit pre-PhD course work, the potential research students / researchers will place his / her proposed synopsis of his / her thesis work in consultation with his / her PhD supervisors to the respective Research Advisory Committee (RAC) for **advice, suggestion and direction for PhD Registration.**

The synopsis will be the brief outline of the student's proposed PhD thesis work. It should be containing about one thousand (1000) words with 1.5 spacing in A-4 size paper.



Annexure V
THE NEOTIA UNIVERSITY (TNU)
Application Form for Registration in the Ph.D Programme


Paste a Recent
Passport Size
Coloured
Photograph

A. To be filled in by the Candidate:

1	Name [in capital letters]	
2	Mother's Name	
3	Father's Name	
4	Gender	
5	Category	
6	Date of Birth	
7	Physically Challenged:	
	If yes, degree of disability: (Furnish Details)	
8	Nationality	
	If Foreign National	
	a. Passport No.	
	b. Visa Details	
9	Address with Pin code	
	a. Address of Correspondence	
	b. Permanent Address	
	c. Email	
	d. Phone No	
10	Identity Proof	



	a) Card Type	
	b) Card Number	
11	Department/School in which the applicant is going to be registered	
12	Date of Ph.D Enrollment	
13	Ph.D Enrollment Letter	
14	Funding Agency: (If any)	
15	Course work completed (yes/no) (if yes, please attach documents)	
16	Title of the PhD Thesis:	
17	Supervisor	
	a. Full Name	
	b. Designation	
	c. Official Address	
	d. Email & Contact No.	
	e. No. of registered Ph.D students as of now	
18	Co-Supervisor: (if any)	
	a. Full Name	
	b. Designation	
	c. Official Address	
	d. Email & Contact No.	
19	Applicant's Qualification	
	a. Master's Degree	
	b. Subject	
	c. University/ Institute	
	d. Passing Year	
	e. Cleared NET/ GATE/ JEST/ Any other (if any) (if yes, please attach documents)	



20	Occupation/Present Designation & Official Address, if employed(NOC of the employer to be attached)	
21	Whether registered earlier under this University or elsewhere for Ph.D. Programme (if 'Yes', please attach documents)	
22	Migration required (yes/no) (if yes, please attach document)	
23	Short research synopsis(word limit: 1000 words) (you may attach separate sheet/s for the synopsis)	

I declare that the items of information given above are correct to the best of my knowledge and that my Ph.D. Registration is liable to be canceled, if any of the above information is found to be incorrect.

Date:

Signature of Candidate:

Date:

Signature of Supervisor:

Seal

Date:

Signature of Co-Supervisor (if any):

Seal

Signature of Research Advisory Committee (RAC):

Recommendation from R&D Cell, The Neotia University:



(Annecxure VI)
PhD Thesis Submission form

- **Particular of deposit for the Thesis Submission Feet**
- **Amount:**
- **Mode of payment:**
- **Cash/Cheque/Online:**
- **Date of deposit**
- **University Receipt No.:**
- **Name of the Research Scholar:**
- **Title of the Thesis:**
- **Name of School:**
- **Date of Enrolment:**
- **Registration No:**
- **Date of Registration :**
- **Student's University ID:**
- **Adderss of the Student:**
- **Tcl. No:**
- **Email address:**

Acknowledgement:

This is to acknowledge the receipt of the PhD Thesis entitled

.....
.....

Submitted by UID for
adjudicating by the panel of examiners set up by the University. After receiving the adjudication report
the University will inform the candidate about the status of his/her defence / viva-voce examination.

**Head /In-charge
Finance**

Director (R&D Cell)

Registrar



Instruction to the Candidate

Every Ph.D. candidate should submit five (5) copies of the thesis along with a virus-free soft copy as a single file having a maximum size of 20MB in PDF format only. The hard and soft copy must be exactly identical. The thesis must be signed by the research scholar and Supervisor/s and the submission form will be forwarded by the Supervisor/s.

Thesis Format:

- **80GSM, A4 size paper printed on both sides (except title and certificate pages) or single side**
- **Times Roman font with 1.5 / 2.0 Line space should be provided**
- **The thesis shall not exceed 250 pages, including appendix, tables, figures etc.**
- **Five (5) hard copies.**
- **Plagiarism-checked certificate by Supervisor(s) with the attachment of single page evidence from standard plagiarism check software (approved from TNU).**
- **The Plagiarism-free certificate shall not exceed 10% excluding quotations, own publications and list of references.**
- **The PhD synopsis and thesis shall be submitted in English language.**

Required Enclosures to be in the following order:

- PhD Thesis submission fees deposit receipt
- Filled-in PhD Thesis submission form
- Plagiarism-checked certificate
- Migration certificate
- Reprint of the research publications.
- Five (5) hard copies of the Thesis along with synopsis and a version of its soft copy.
- Course work certificate



Annexure VII
Examiner List

Ph.D Registration No.:

Student's University ID:

Name of the Candidate:

Title of the Thesis:

Department and School:

Name of the Examiners (Outside State / Country):

Name of the Examiners (Within State / Country):

Date:

Name and Signature of Supervisor/s



Annexure VIII
Ph.D Thesis Evaluation Report
(Confidential)

Name of the Student:

Title of the Thesis:

Name of Department:

Recommendation

Please tick one of the following:

The submitted thesis is not recommended for acceptance and the student should be allowed to defend his / her thesis. ☐

The submitted thesis may be recommended for acceptance after the inclusion of corrections attached and the student will then be allowed to defend. ☐

The submitted thesis should be revised as per the incorporated suggestions attached and requires to be sent again. ☐

Possible questions (Max.5) to be asked during viva-voce

Signature of the Examiner:

Date:

Address of the Examiner:



Annexure IX

REPORT ON Ph.D DEFENSE / VIVA-VOCE EXAMINATION

Name of the Research Scholar:

Title of the Thesis:

Recommendation

The research scholar presented his/her PhD thesis work in details today in the house. He/She was asked all the questions as suggested by the Examiners as well as those that rose from the house. He/She answered all of them clearly and such responses were accepted by the house.

The house is therefore satisfied with the presentation and defending answers. Hence it is recommended that the presented thesis be accepted for the award of Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) of this University

Committee Details		Recommendation
Name of the member	Affiliation	Yes/No (In case of No, please cite reasons)

Date:

